INTRODUCTION

Root canal treatment (RCT) is the most commonly used method to treat pulpal and periapical infections. Root canal treatment is a multiple visit procedure which includes access cavity preparation, creating the patency of root canals, working length determination, pulp removal, biomechanical canal preparation, obturation and restoration of broken coronal tooth. Working length (WL) determination is the crucial step for root canal treatment and is described as the distance from coronal fixed point to the apical constriction of root canal space. Moreover, apical constriction (AC) is an important landmark at apical part of root canal space of tooth. Working length can be calculated by paper points, tactile sensation, conventional radiographs, digital radiographs and electronic apex locator. Working length calculation is important part of root canal procedure. Digital radiography employs intraoral sensor in place of conventional radiographic film with added advantages of less radiation dose, good image quality, no processing time along with magnifying and storing digital image.
other hand, locating apical constriction clinically and radiographically is tough due to its variable shape and position. Mostly apical constriction is located 0.5 to 2.0 mm from apical foramen. The cleaning, shaping and obturation of the root canal system cannot be achieved precisely without determining accurate working length of tooth.

However, there are many limitations in using digital radiography for working length calculation. The precision is mainly affected by magnification of image, radiographic analysis errors, location of apical foramen, curvature of root and two dimensional picture that is often masked by surrounding anatomic structures.

The use of electric current to determine working length was first reported by Custer. According to Suzuki et al the electrical resistance between the oral mucosa and periodontal ligament was, a constant value of, 6.5 kilo ohms and this can be used to determine the accurate position of apical constriction where the canal ends at the periodontal ligament.

In modern endodontic practice electronic apex locator is a useful device for exact position of apical constriction. Kim et al found it to be 84% accurate in determining the location of apical constriction. Acosta et al compared four different apex locators and found 81% to 89% success rate in locating apical constriction. However, there are many limitations of apex locator with regard to metallic restorations, in patients having heart pace maker, display varying and unstable reading and is expansive.

Cianconi L et al study compared the accuracy of three different types of electronic apex locators and digital radiography working lengths and the result was 84% accuracy with apex locator and with digital radiography 51% accuracy within ±0.5 mm of apical foramen.

In spite of modern technology and good technique, there is still dispute of the ideal technique for measurement of WL during RCT. The apical constriction of root canal cannot be precisely determined clinically due to anatomical variations. According to some studies radiographs are more accurate while others support the apex locator. There is also enough literature showing that there is no statistical difference between the two methods.

Mostly previous studies are done with conventional radiography only and most studies are in vitro. Therefore, the aim of study was to conduct a comparative in vivo study to determine the accuracy in working lengths by apex locator and digital radiography and to compare their accuracy with the actual working length after extraction so that a better modality can be selected for good clinical outcome.

**METHODOLOGY**

This study was approved from ethical review board of institution via letter no FMH-03-2020-IRB-751-M before the start of study. This cross sectional study was done on 60 patients of both sexes aged 20-60 years requiring extraction of their maxillary and mandibular premolar teeth for periodontal, prosthetic and/or orthodontic purposes. All teeth had fully formed apices of root. Teeth with root resorption, metallic restorations and previously RCT treated were not included in the study. The patients were selected by convenience sampling from the Operative Dentistry department of Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore. Sample size of 60 cases was calculated with 95% confidence, 13% margin of error and taking expected percentage of accuracy of working length measured by digital radiography i.e. 51% in teeth intended for extraction. Before starting study Informed consent was taken from all the patients. A detailed medical and dental history was documented.

After giving local anaesthesia, endodontic access cavity was prepared using high speed hand piece with a number (04) and (02) round tungsten carbide bur. Coronal reference point was fixed and all pulp tissue was removed using spoon shaped excavator and barbed broaches #15 and then #20. After irrigation of the root canal, paper point was used to dry the canal. Apex locator WL (ALWL) was calculated by digital reading with manual K File No. 20 from coronal fixed point to apical constriction by using Dentaport Apex Locator (J. Morita Co, Tustin, CA). Radiographic WL (RWL) was calculated before extracting the tooth by inserting the No 20 K-file with fixed coronal reference point to 1 mm short of radiographic working length by parallel technique using periapical X-ray (Kodak Digital System). Access opening was packed with GIC, Ketac Molar Easy Mix (3M ESPE) with the files present in canals, without removing handles of files. The resident of maxillofacial surgery department was requested to extract the tooth atraumatic ally, which was later disinfected, dried and labelled.

Actual working length (AWL) was calculated by longitudinal sectioning of apical 1/3 of root with a diamond tapered fissure diamond bur till the file present in the canal became visible. The distance of the file tip from fixed coronal point to anatomic apical constriction was calculated in millimetres with the help of scale and endodontic loupes (CE Dental Surgical Binocular Loupes 3.5X320mm). Actual working length was taken as gold standard to determine the accuracy of electronic apex locator and digital working length measurements.

Criteria for accuracy of determined working length i.e. the Accurate working length (AWL) for ALWL and RWL respectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Apex Locator WL (ALWL)</th>
<th>Radiographic WL (RWL)</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>File at apical constriction</td>
<td>File within 0.5mm of apical constriction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>File short or beyond apical constriction</td>
<td>File short or beyond 0.5 mm of apical constriction</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data analysis was completed by using SPSS version 20 for the analysis. Descriptive statistics of mean and standard deviation were computed for quantitative variables e.g. working length in millimetre and age. Frequency and percentages were calculated for gender.

Accurate working length (AWL) was calculated for radiography readings within 0.5 mm of actual working length and for apex locator readings, exactly at apical constriction. Data was stratified for age and gender to address the effect modifier. Chi-square test was used post-stratification to check the level of significance.

The age group were divided into four group (g1=20-30, g2=31-40, g3=41-50, g4=51-60) for the accuracy of working length for both ALWL and RWL and to know effect of this variable on the working length determination.

RESULTS

Total 60 single rooted teeth both maxillary and mandibular premolars from 60 patients were included in the study. There were 35 (58.33%) male participants and 25 (41.67%) female participants in the study.

The mean age of the patients in this study was 37.8 ± 8.55. The mean ALWL was 20.88±1.61, RWL was 21.45±1.73 and AWL was 20.87±1.57.

Table 1 shows ALWL and RWL falling into the accurate category.

Table 1: Accuracy of working length by radiograph and apex locator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Accurate Reading</th>
<th>ALWL</th>
<th>RWL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>57 (95%)</td>
<td>42 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>18 (30%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results were also formulated for gender wise accuracy of both radiography and apex locator readings. Table 2 demonstrates the data. The results demonstrated no statistically significant association between age and ALWL, p=0.368, and RWL, p=0.775, respectively, when they were compared with AWL.

Table 2: Gender wise accuracy of both radiography and apex locator readings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Accurate Readings</th>
<th>ALWL with AWL</th>
<th>RWL with AWL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58 (96.6%)</td>
<td>41 (68.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>55 (91.6%)</td>
<td>43 (71.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results were also formulated for age groups, G1=20-30, G2=31-40, G3=41-50, G4=51-60, and accuracy of both ALWL and RWL respectively. The result p=0.446 related that there was no statistically significant association between age groups when RWL was compared with AWL. While for age and ALWL compared to AWL, p = 0.021 showed statistically significant association. The result showed that the age of the patient had an effect on accuracy of apex locator.

DISCUSSION

Determining AWL is a crucial step in root canal treatment. The success of root canal treatment depends on accurately determining the working length. According to most dentists the apical constriction is apical end of root canal space. All the root canal preparation and obturation should be restricted to apical constriction for good long-term prognosis of treatment. Precisely locating the apical constriction is difficult because of its variable shape and position. According to most of the studies apical constriction is 0-3 mm with in radiographic apex.

The results of this study confirmed the results of previous studies which revealed that electronic apex locator can accurately determine the apical constriction in 90% of canals. These results agree with the study of Schweiz who also compared radiographic and electronic working lengths and results showed 77.2% of the measured radiographic lengths within 0.5mm of the apical constriction. The results of electronic apex locator were <0.5mm of the apical constriction 98.5% of the time. However, the results of accuracy of apex locators were more reliable (95% in this study) than the radiographic accuracy (70% in this study).

These results are alike with the results of El Ayouti who established that the radiographic working length measurements were extended in 56% of the premolars under examination. The results of that study showed that the use of Root ZX apex locator decreased the overestimation of working length of the premolars to 21%. This may be due to location of apical constriction laterally in 78% to 93% of the cases. The result of another study by Pineda F. et al also showed that electronic working length by apex locator were more precise in determining the apical constriction than the digital radiograph.

The results of this study showed that if a file is placed at the radiographic apex, its tip will, in most cases, cross the apical foramen and if root canal filling is done, it will be over obturated in almost all the cases. If the file was set 1mm short of the radiographic apex the accuracy was 70% within 0.5 mm of actual working length and almost 98% within 1.0 mm actual working length. Therefore, the obturation of root canal within 2mm of radiographic apex are said to be satisfactory from clinical point of view. With the increasing age, certain physiological changes occur in root apex due to cellular cementum deposition. The successive deposition of cementum is responsible for change in root
morbidity in terms of diameter, size and position of apical foramina and may affect the accuracy of radiography and apex locator with respect to root apex.\textsuperscript{24-27} The RWL showed an average 70% accuracy in different age groups, meaning that there is change in position of apical constriction (AC) when the measurements were taken from root apex. These findings were inconsistent with the studies of Kuttler showing that up to 80% teeth having deviated from major apical foramina. Another study by Levy and Glatt stated that 66.4% deviation percentage of apical foramina.\textsuperscript{25}

Apex locator in age groups shows 88.3% accuracy with p-value of 0.021 which was statistically significant. The reason can be due to electronic apex locator ability to precisely measure the apical constriction and working length measured by electric signal. The accuracy of radiograph mostly relies on assessment of working length with respect to root apex and position of apical foramina may vary its position with chronological age.

Therefore, the result of the study shows greater accuracy of apex locator readings when compared to accuracy of radiographic readings.

The limitations of this study were that sample size was small and after extraction instead of microscope only endodontic loups and scale were used to measure the distance of the file tip from fixed coronal point to anatomic apical constriction.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

From clinical standpoint, the Root ZX apex locator showed 95% accuracy to determine actual working length. Radiographs showed 70% accuracy within ±0.5mm of actual working length and 98% accuracy within 1.0mm of actual working length.

Within the limitations of the study it is suggested that apex locator can be a better treatment strategy for determining working length for RCT procedures. The combined use of electronic apex locator and radiographs can enhance the accuracy of working length determination and length control throughout the root canal treatment.
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