INTRODUCTION

The relationship between periodontal health and restoration of teeth is intimate and inseparable. For restoration to survive long term, the periodontium must remain healthy so that the teeth are retained.1,2 Periodontics and prosthodontics share this interdisciplinary coordination in multiple aspects: treatment plan, procedures execution, outcome achievement and maintenance. To facilitate this collaboration, the Prosthodontist should appreciate the Periodontic implications of various restorative procedures.2-4

Fixed and removable prosthesis supported by oral mucosa, natural teeth or dental implants are provided to patients according to their indications.3 There may be situations where systemic health, oral conditions, financial constraints or time constraints preclude the use of fixed prosthesis in the patient.2 Due to oral tissue preservation, the Removable partial dentures (RPDs) are a best choice as an alternate treatment option.6,7 However, for most of the patients the fixed prosthesis is more desirable from a psychological point of view.6,8-10 Successful treatments by RPDs require thorough insight on the effect and interactions of the RPD with the oral tissues.4 The purpose of this article is to review the dental literature regarding Perio-prosthetic considerations in RPDs.

Using a MEDLINE search, for "removable partial dentures periodontal", a total of 712 papers from peer-reviewed journals came in results. The MEDLINE search was made more specific by applying filters to the key phrase with other key words such as "periodontal evaluation"(140), "direct retainers"(77), "non-surgical periodontal"(8), "surgical periodontal"(180), "plaque"(249), "periodontal indices"(112), "tooth mobility,"(180) "periodontal maintenance,"(60) "splinting,"(198) and "split major connector"(2). Both in vivo and in vitro studies on the Perio-prosthodontic aspects of RPD treatment were included in the study pool whereas case Series and case reports were excluded. A total of 1206 studies were collected from the search engine. After applying the exclusion criteria and filtering the duplicates a total of 95 studies were included for the narrative review.

CONCLUSIONS: Out of all the factors, recall and oral and denture hygiene have the utmost importance.
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accumulation, degree of reversible and irreversible damage to periodontal tissues, gingival biotype, functional and static occlusion and mobility of teeth. The objective at this stage is to diagnose any periodontal conditions that would either compromise the construction of the denture or compromise the prognosis for a successful therapeutic outcome. Overhanging fillings, open margins of existing restoration, over-contoured restorations, severely tilted teeth and furcation involvements increase the bacterial accumulation in the oral cavity. These plaque retentive features must be minimized or removed before proceeding to a definite prosthetic treatment. Likewise, immune status of host and response to previous periodontal and prosthodontic therapies is also important. Periodontal loss in young patients has poorer prognosis than the older patients because in the younger patients the disease has taken a more virulent course. A quick assessment of the patient's level of education and motivation of oral and denture hygiene is necessary as it is critical to success of RPDs. This process of learning and encouragement should be a part of each appointment of the treatment and post treatment recalls. In RPDs there is more coverage of hard and soft tissue than FPDs therefore the Oral hygiene is even more crucial for these patients.

Finally medical status of the patient must be evaluated. Medical conditions can either have a local implication on the overall health status of the periodontal tissues or they can affect the prognosis of any restorative treatment on the abutment teeth. Failure to appreciate any underlying systemic condition or any medication that can affect the periodontium may cause serious setbacks and failures of the restorative treatment. According to Leyvee et al, abutment teeth with good periodontal prognosis have a 9.3 lower risk of tooth loss than the teeth with lower prognostic values.

Pre prosthetic periodontal procedures

Non-Surgical Procedures

Routine non-surgical periodontal care has two basic components: Effective daily plaque removal by the patient and Supportive periodontal therapy (SPT) every 2 to 6 months. Generally patients are not able to remove all the plaque from all surfaces of teeth throughout the day but a good immune system can resist detrimental effects of residual plaque. Being the most conservative and non-invasive periodontal treatment, Scaling and root planning (SC/RP) is also termed as the basic supportive periodontal therapy (SPT). This therapy can give the greatest level regain of the clinical attachment loss as compared to any other therapeutic technique. It also aids in an improvement in oral microbial composition which in turn, helps in reduction of pocket depth and also reduction in bleeding sites. It is cost effective and has minimal side effects in comparison to surgical techniques.

Surgical Procedures

Surgical periodontal therapy is indicated in cases where:
1. Continued bone loss in a patient who has had SC/RP and is on a 2- to 3-month periodontal maintenance schedule
2. The need for making more cleansable gingival contours.
3. The need to clean root surface that are in accessible non-surgically.

Though Surgical periodontal therapies help maintain a healthy periodontal status however they have their own biological costs. If health of periodontium is not maintained by a regular SPT, any type of surgical procedure may fail to give favorable outcomes.

Effect of Removable Partial Dentures on Plaque

RPD wearing has been associated with alteration in quantity and quality of plaque. Addy and Bates stated that, whether a denture design is either close fitting or self-cleansing, plaque accumulation is higher with patients that have poor oral hygiene. In a series of studies Ghamrawy E. et al have stated that plaque formation is enhanced on abutment teeth with increase proliferation of Spirilla and spirochetes than other bacterial strains. Thus special tooth brushing techniques must be advocated to patients wearing RPDs as they are at high risk of developing periodontal disease. Bissada evaluated gingival response to coverage by partial dentures. All the design features were similar for these dentures except the relationship of the gingival tissues to the palatal plate and the material of denture. The results of the study showed that the coverage of gingival areas by RPD without relief and the acrylic based dentures showed overt periodontal inflammation that is appreciable both clinically and histologically, whereas the areas left uncovered by denture were the least affected. Based on the results of this study a minimum distance of 5 to 6 mm away from the free gingival margins for major connectors was proposed. Similar observations were made in other studies on plaque accumulation due to RPD use. They concluded that a meticulous and persistent level of oral hygiene is required for patients wearing patients. They also stated that the denture design should simple and minimalistic, thus it should cover only those hard and soft tissues that are required.

Wearing of RPD may promote the formation of plaque but if meticulous oral and denture hygiene is practiced by the patient then it can be effectively control. In a study the
authors demonstrated that just by teaching patients meticulous tooth brushing, plaque can be controlled. The hygiene measures that the literature supports includes; using special toothbrushes for proximal surfaces, frequent cleaning of the dentures and tooth brushing after each meal. Thus, it can be extracted from the literature that the wearing of RPD may promote plaque formation but the major factors are related to poor oral and denture hygiene.

Effect of Removable partial dentures on periodontal indices

FPDs have a general repute of being a better treatment option than the RPDs amongst the less experienced dental community and patients. This biased notion has been made due to several reasons.

RPD is given in a patient that is not suitable for any fixed restoration either because of poor abutments and/or poor periodontal status of patient. Thus RPD wearing patients that have a compromised prognosis of remaining teeth, make a larger number.

Complications of FPDs are less reported by patients and less observed by the dentists because the periodontium has a better tolerance for abuse and trauma than oral mucosa. Thus if factors like oral hygiene, prosthesis design and case selection were to be kept constant, the clinical outcomes related to periodontal health would not differ both for RPDs or FPDs.

If oral hygiene and denture hygiene measures are meticulously followed by patients, there appears to be no correlation of poor periodontal indices and RPDs. However few studies have attributed RPDs with worsening of periodontal indices of abutment teeth as compared to non-abutment teeth. The crux of this reported variation of result lies in the difference of oral and denture hygiene by the patient. It is recommended that Recall visits should be planned to intercept the development of periodontal pockets.

Effect of removable partial dentures on tooth mobility

Mobility of natural teeth can be physiological or pathological. The clinical mobility of tooth is classified by numerous periodontal indices which have different criteria of assessment and classification. One of the pathological causes of tooth mobility is periodontal disease. Periodontal disease causes the alveolar bone to resorb and minimizes the volume and quality of the supportive tissues of the tooth. Partial dentures are associated with increased tooth mobility of abutment teeth, which may be attributed to increase forces on abutments or dental plaque accumulation. Rigid Metal major connector directs less forces on abutment teeth than a non-rigid polymer based major connector. However care in planning and designing of RPD on a dental surveyor, selection of abutments and harmonizing occlusal contacts can decrease this harmful effect of RPDs on the natural teeth. If oral and denture hygiene are taken care of, forces from RPDs alone may not cause abutment tooth mobility.

Effect of Components of removable partial denture on periodontal status of abutments

Direct retainer design

Direct retainers vary in shape, origin and materials according to the suitability and requirement of partially dentate situation. Direct retainers are considered to cause gingival recession and increase caries of abutment teeth. However if retainers are placed according to survey line and well supported by rests, they will not cause any harm to periodontal tissue. Some studies have showed that precision attachments are less damaging to periodontium than the clasp type retainers; this may be due to better vertical loading of abutments teeth. Distal extension bases mesial rest with I bar retainers were proven to be more favorable for longevity of abutment teeth. For periodontally compromised abutments bar retainers in the clasp type retainers and non-rigid retainers in the attachments type retainers were found to be favorable for periodontal health of abutment teeth than any other types of retainers. Newer composite materials have comparable retentive and mechanical properties as compared to cobalt chromium clasp. Clasps made out of PEEK (Poly ether ether ketone) were found to have less flexural strength than cobalt chromium clasps, thus to achieve retention they would be made wider than cobalt chromium clasps, which may cause increase in plaque accumulation.

Major and Minor connector design

A basic design principle for removable partial denture is to extend the dentures on to supporting tissues and minimize the coverage of marginal gingival tissues. Marginal gingiva that is loosely attached to the alveolar bone is prone to stripping from contact with denture components especially the major connectors. This stripping is due to the lack of well supported occlusal rests or excessive coverage of components over the gingival collar. As a design principle a minimum of 4-6mm of distance should be kept between marginal gingiva and borders of Major connector.

Denture Framework materials

Traditionally metal has been used for denture framework for RPDs but with advent of new materials various non-metallic materials have been used for Denture framework such as PEEK. The advantage of PEEK over metal denture framework materials is that its modulus of elasticity closely
matches to that of alveolar bone. In a study by Xin et al, it was shown that due to flexibility of framework made by PEEK, forces on abutment teeth were less than other metallic denture framework; however the forces on mucosa were increased specially in the distal extension bases. Thus for patients with poor periodontal status of remaining teeth, PEEK may be used as a denture framework but for distal extension bases it is not recommended.\textsuperscript{92} In another study, High impact denture resin was compared with cobalt chromium RPDs. There was no statistical and clinical difference in outcomes of periodontal health in both materials.\textsuperscript{93} However, Itoh et al concluded that rigidity of major connector is associated with decreased abutment mobility.\textsuperscript{65}

**Split Major Connectors**

Stress breaking phenomena is of paramount importance in minimizing forces on abutment tooth. While various clasps are designed to disengage the abutment tooth during physiological movement of distal extension base; the Split Major Connectors or Stress breakers are designed to decrease torquing forces to the abutment teeth due to stress movement of distal extension base dentures.\textsuperscript{94}

Henderson and Steffen suggested a split lingual bar to redirect the torquing forces on the abutment tooth.\textsuperscript{13} Photo elastic studies were done by Reitz et al. prove the efficacy of split major connectors in both maxillary and mandibular arch.\textsuperscript{94,95} For maxillary major connector he stated that the split palatal major connector reduced the forces directed to the distal-extension abutment and transferred to the regions underlying the denture base. For mandibular major connector he stated that\textsuperscript{95,96}

1. In short distal extension bases, there is no significant reduction of force on abutments by use of split major connector.
2. If the split of major connector extends to the midline, the stress on the distal-extension abutment is not only in a more vertical direction but also has less magnitude.
3. In the long distal extension denture bases, the stress was increased on the alveolar bone.

**Effect of splinting of abutments**

Few in-vitro photoelastic studies suggested that at least two abutment teeth should be splinted in distal extension bases for reduction of stresses especially when periodontal support is compromised.\textsuperscript{97,98} In some studies it was suggested that fixed splinting of abutment teeth should be done when attachment are used for distal extension bases.\textsuperscript{70,81} Splinting of abutment tooth is associated with less abutment movement than non-splinted abutments.\textsuperscript{77,99} Carlson reported that in addition to splinting of abutments the other main factors included denture hygiene and gingival relief for successful RPDs.\textsuperscript{98} No clinical study was found on this subject.

**Effect of impression technique**

Impression making is a fundamental step for establishing the attributes of the denture i.e. support, stability and retention. Impression making of distal extension bases must accommodate the function movement of denture base. Stability of denture base and load sharing with teeth is directly related to amount of contact of supporting mucosa with major connector.\textsuperscript{100} An in vivo study compared the functional movement of distal extension RPD bases made by three different impression techniques: (a) an altered-cast impression, (b) an impression made from a border-molded custom tray, (c) an irreversible hydrocolloid impression in a stock tray. The altered cast impression had less movement of distal extension base in that can be clinically significant because mucosal support has an indispensable role of sharing the occlusal load with the abutment teeth in distal extension RPDs.\textsuperscript{101} A recent systematic review reported that there is not enough advantage of altered cast impression over one piece cast.\textsuperscript{102} This is because of lack of data to prove that altered cast impression is better than any other technique for distal extension removable dental prosthesis impressions. The article also emphasizes that there is need for more scientific research with larger sample sizes and longer performance reviews.

**Periodontal Maintenance in recall appointments**

Recall appointments are necessary for both periodontal and prosthetic maintenance for patients wearing RPDs. However these are not well maintained in all the dental facilities especially where students' work.\textsuperscript{103} Periodic recall appointments aid in early diagnosis of a periodontal diseases or a prosthetic condition which are easier to control in the early stages.\textsuperscript{103,104} The frequency of these recalls depends on the need of individual patient, because of the variation in immune status, denture biomechanics and plaque control. Distorted or damaged components of dentures, Ill-fitting dentures, changes in occlusion, and signs of Parafunction and poor denture hygiene can render the RPDs useless or even dangerous. Thus a timely intervention can save undesirable trauma to the remaining teeth and soft tissues.\textsuperscript{58,105,106}

**Conclusion**

Considering all the above stated literature, there are many factors of periodontal health in patients wearing removable partial denture, however the most fundamental factors are Recall appointments and Oral and denture hygiene which
are usually ignored in most of the practices. Therefore, it is of utmost importance that the dentists must follow up with their patients and keep a record of their periodontal health because prevention is better than cure. The designing of the prosthesis must foresee the periodontal implication of the individual components.
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