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Dental impressions can act as vehicle for various types of micro-organisms E.g. Hepatitis B, C, HIV, Mycobacterium, Herpes simplex, Ebola, MERS-CoV etc. The most effective way to prevent their spread through dental impression is to make the impression sterile just after coming out of mouth. Various methods of impression disinfection have been described in literature having their own advantages, disadvantages and effects on impression material. In order to enhance the knowledge and improve the behavior of dental health care workers about impression disinfection, a structured literature review of the current disinfection techniques has been carried out. It will also provide knowledge about mechanism of action, concentration of usage along with commercial preparations available of different disinfectants.
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INTRODUCTION

The importance of cross-infection control cannot be overemphasized. Disinfection and sterilization methods are used to achieve disinfection and sterility of the medical and surgical instruments. In order to avoid the spread of pathogens from patients to patient, patient to health care personnel and health care personnel to patient, it is the duty of the health care policies makers to allocate the appropriate methods of cleaning, disinfection and sterilization for various surfaces and instruments.
Cleaning is the removal of all foreign material (e.g. blood, saliva, debris) from objects while decontamination is the removal of pathogenic micro-organisms from objects. Disinfection is the process that eliminates many to all pathogenic microorganisms on inanimate objects except bacterial endospores. While sterilization is the complete elimination of all micro-organisms including spores. Disinfection can be divided into three categories according to their efficacy. High level disinfection involves destruction of microorganisms like tubere bacilli but not able to kill spore. Low level disinfection possesses narrow antimicrobial activity.
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(Table 1).³,⁴,⁵ Dental impressions are categorized under semi-critical objects in dental practice and require high level disinfection or sterilization.⁶ Sterilization in an autoclave will compromise the dimensional accuracy of the impression hence it is not feasible.⁷ Until 1991, the recommended procedure for disinfection of impression was rinsing under running water with which only 40% of bacteria, viruses and fungi were removed and potential for transmission of micro-organisms remains there.³,⁸,⁹ In recent times, a pre wash of the impression with running water is advocated first to cast off all particles, blood and saliva prior to active disinfection procedure.¹⁰ Disinfection of dental impression should be a routine procedure in the dental office and dental laboratory. By knowing all the methods and techniques, any dental personnel can make a better choice and get best results for impression disinfection. However, most of the dental professionals in private clinics, hospitals, dental schools and prosthetic laboratories are not following the required protocols for impression disinfection.¹¹,¹² Keeping in view the above findings, it is of utmost importance to raise the level of awareness in dental professionals involved in any process of handling, transportation, processing and storage of the dental impressions. Different techniques of impression disinfection and other methods of cross-infection control must be a part of undergraduate curriculum of dental universities and dental technician schools. The aim of this literature review is to generate an update on the various techniques of impression disinfection along with their mechanism of action and simple guidelines for their usage.
## Disinfection Techniques:

### Disinfection solutions:

The details are given in Table 2. 4,13,14,15

**Glutaraldehyde:** It is a high level disinfectant and is available in neutral, alkaline and acidic forms. 5 It is a broad spectrum chemical agent with fast killing capability. It is also called chemo sterilizer. If it is used in proper concentration and specialized equipment, it can destroy all types of micro-organisms including bacterial and fungal spores, tubercle bacilli and viruses. 16 It is a colorless liquid with pungent odour. Although it is considered as the best disinfectant for cold sterilization of medical equipment, it also has many health hazards including irritation to skin, eyes and respiratory tract. It is a sensitizer of skin and respiratory tract, so special precautions are needed while using it e.g. wearing butyl or nitrile gloves, closed system for solution handling, exhaust ventilation of the places of handling and keeping the temperature of the solution low as it will reduce the airborne concentration of the solution. 17

**Sodium hypochlorite:** It provides intermediate level disinfection and has a broad spectrum antimicrobial activity. It is very useful disinfectant with advantages including fast bactericidal activity, ease of use as it is soluble in water, relatively stable, nontoxic at use concentrations, low cost, non-staining, nonflammable and colorless. Disadvantages include mucous membrane irritation, less efficient in organic environment and corrosive effect on metals. 13 According to one study, alginate impression disinfected with spray method using 1% Naocl did not show any severe dimensional changes or surface roughness of stone model that were fabricated from that impression. 18 However, in another study impression disinfection by immersion method with 0.5% NaOCl for 15 min exhibited small dimensional change. 19

**Iodophors:** These halogens provide low to intermediate level disinfection. These are bactericidal, mycobactericidal and virucidal. It is also fungicidal but requires more contact time. These are mainly used as antiseptics rather than disinfectants. These are not sporicidal and cause staining of fabrics. They are not flammable. They have irritating effect on mucous membrane. 20,21 Organic material present on any surface can lead to neutralization of disinfectant capability of iodine.

### Table 1: Levels of Disinfection 3,4,5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Disinfection</th>
<th>Disinfectants</th>
<th>Type of印象 materials</th>
<th>Time of exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High level disinfection</td>
<td>Glutaraldehyde</td>
<td>Irreversible hydrocolloid</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc oxide eugenol</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polysulfide Polymer</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Addition silicon</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Level Disinfection</td>
<td>Sodium hypochlorite Complex iodophors Phenols Chlorhexidine Alcohol</td>
<td>Irreversible hydrocolloid</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc oxide eugenol</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polysulfide Polymer</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Addition silicon</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Level Disinfection</td>
<td>Quaternary ammonium compounds Simple phenols detergents</td>
<td>Not recommended for impression disinfection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Types of Disinfectants 4,13,14,15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of disinfectant</th>
<th>Type of disinfectant</th>
<th>Recommended concentration</th>
<th>Primary Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Commercial preparations available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glutaraldehyde</td>
<td>Non-oxidizing</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Alkylation agent for proteins. Mainly affects amine, amides and thiol groups</td>
<td>Cidex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Hypochlorite</td>
<td>Oxidizing</td>
<td>0.5% Or 200-5000 PPM</td>
<td>Disrupts cell membrane transport chain by causing inhibition of enzymes and damage to DNA</td>
<td>Clorox Chloramine T Purex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodophors</td>
<td>Oxidizing</td>
<td>1-2%</td>
<td>Proteins and enzymes are inactivated</td>
<td>Betadine Hydroxime Prep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Non-oxidizing</td>
<td>60-90%</td>
<td>Cell membrane lipid content is solubilized and proteins are precipitated</td>
<td>Isopropyl alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorhexidine</td>
<td>Non-oxidizing</td>
<td>2-4%</td>
<td>Intracellular contents are coagulated and cell membrane is damaged</td>
<td>Savlon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic</td>
<td>Non-oxidizing</td>
<td>1-3%</td>
<td>Proteolysis poison causes damage to cell membrane</td>
<td>Lysol Detrol Hi-phene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hence, more frequent application of disinfectant is required for complete disinfection. According to one study, 30 min exposure to 0.1 % povidone-iodine did not cause remarkable distortion of polysulfide and polysiloxane impression material.

**Alcohols:** These provide intermediate level disinfection and include isopropyl alcohol and ethyl alcohol. Isopropyl alcohol is normally used as antiseptic. Medical surfaces can also be disinfected with isopropyl alcohol. Ethyl alcohol is more potent in bactericidal than bacteriostatic activity. It is also tuberculocidal, fungicidal and virucidal for enveloped viruses as well. Alcohols are contraindicated for impression disinfection because they can cause surface changes of impressions. They are also not suitable for disinfection of denture bases consisting of non-cross linked resins.

**Phenols:** Complex phenols are classified as intermediate level disinfectants. These are also known as protoplasmic poisons. At low concentration, they cause lysis of rapidly growing e.coli, staphylococci and streptococci. They possess antifungal and antiviral properties as well. These are commonly used in mouthwashes, scrub soaps and surface disinfectants. Ideally not recommended for impression disinfection as simple phenols are low level disinfectants. They are incompatible with latex, acrylic, rubber and cause acute toxicity as well.

**Chlorhexidine:** It is an intermediate level disinfectant and antiseptic. It has broad spectrum of activity and also used as preservative. It is commonly used in hand washes and oral products. It is bactericidal, virucidal and mycobacteriostatic. Its activity declines in the presence of organic matter because its activity depends on specific pH. 2% chlorhexidine has shown activity against s.aureus, e.coli, b.subtillis, but no antifungal activity was seen in agar diffusion test at low concentration. 0.2% chlorhexidine disinfectant solution can be used as water substitute in alginate mixing. Impression can also be immersed in chlorhexidine solution and it causes effective disinfection. According to one study, 1.0 g/L chlorhexidine solution can be used to produce self-disinfecting alginate impression material for clinical use. In this way, it has shown antimicrobial activity and did not cause any changes in dimensional accuracy, flow ability and setting time of irreversible hydrocolloid impression material.

**Ozonated water:** Ozone is an inorganic gaseous molecule. Its chemical formula is O₃. It is less stable than O₂ in lower atmosphere. It has antimicrobial, antihypoxic, analgesic and immunostimulatory activities. It is used for disinfection of water lines, oral cavity and dentures. It is also used as prophylactic agent before etching for the placement of restorations. Ozonated water can also be used as impression disinfectant. According to one study, aqueous ozone is more biocompatible than other disinfectant solutions e.g. chlorhexidine, NaOCl, H₂O₂. Ozonated water can reduce the number of microorganisms on the surface of irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials and by increasing time of immersion more effective disinfection can be achieved.

**Other methods:**

**Microwave irradiation:** Microwaves cause disruption of cell membrane integrity and cell metabolism which ultimately leads to microbial death. Microwaves are simple to use, low in cost and provide good disinfection. Dentures are being disinfected with microwaves and are found better disinfected than Naocl. Microwaves can be used as an effective tool for impression disinfection. Polyvinyl siloxane impression materials were disinfected with microwaves with no changes in physical properties of impression material.

**Cast disinfection:** Microorganisms have been recovered from dental cast as well. These dental casts can be a medium of cross infection between patients and dental health care workers. Therefore, dental casts should also be disinfected. The American Dental Association recommends various methods for cast disinfection. These include use of disinfectant spray, immersion in disinfectant solution, and incorporation of disinfectant in stone at the time of mixing. Immersion in 0.525% NaOCl did not cause any changes in dimensional accuracy, surface detail quality and compressive strength. Microwave irradiation can also be used for cast disinfection. Dental cast can also be sterilized.

**Sterilization of impression:** Various methods are available for sterilization of impressions e.g. exposure to UV light, steam autoclave, ethylene oxide gas autoclave, and radiofrequency flow discharge etc.

**DISCUSSION**

Cross-infection control is of prime importance in dental practice but impression disinfection is still a widely neglected aspect. The proper criteria for impression disinfection involves:

1. The most suitable method (spray or immersion).
2. Appropriate application (time of contact).
3. Periodic check for efficacy.

The factors to be considered for any disinfection protocol for dental impression are effectiveness, chemical stability and efficacy of the disinfectant solution. The disinfection procedure should not alter the dimensions and surface details.
of the impression and resultant cast.\textsuperscript{32,34} It has been proven that the most effective method of reducing the burden of micro-organisms from impression surface is chemical disinfection. Spray disinfection and immersion disinfection are the two methods of impression disinfection. However, immersion is the most reliable method because all surfaces of impression and tray come in contact with disinfectant solution. But immersion is not the method of choice for hydrocolloids material as they are extremely hydrophilic.\textsuperscript{3,34}

In 1996, the American Dental Association council on dental materials endorsed immersion for polysulphide and addition silicone impression material whereas spraying with chlorine compound was advocated for disinfection of polyether impression material for 2-3 min.\textsuperscript{35} UV rays can be used for disinfection of water supplies, laboratory equipment, dental headpieces, dental impression and implants. In one study, while comparing UV rays disinfection with Glutaraldehyde and NaOCl, UV rays exhibited maximum efficacy.\textsuperscript{36}

The factors affecting the efficacy of NaOCl include concentration and life of solution, pH, temperature and contact time with the impression surface. According to Fahimeh et\textsuperscript{37}, the compatibility of disinfectant solution with impression material should be assessed prior to disinfection procedure. Any compatible disinfectant solution should not cause any alteration on the surface detail reproduction.

Although some chemical disinfectants cause dimensional changes in impression surface, these changes are not expected to alter the clinical performance. This is why, chemical disinfection is considered the most harmless form of impression disinfection.\textsuperscript{38} 2% gluteraldehyde had exhibited more dimensional changes than 5.25% Naocl in immersion disinfection procedure.\textsuperscript{39}

The American Dental Association's revised guidelines recommend chemical agents that are virucidal, bactericidal and sporicidal. These chemical agents are chlorine compounds, phenols, iodophors, formaldehyde and gluteraldehyde. Immersion in NaOCl at concentration of 1:10 (0.525%) is advised for 10 minutes. Samra and Neiman investigated the effects of gluteraldehyde, phenol, iodophors and chlorine compound immersion disinfection procedure on set stone cast. The results of this study showed that a 0.525% Naocl least affected the cast with regard to compressive strength, surface changes, surface hardness and chemical reactivity.\textsuperscript{32}

The Japan Prosthodontic Society has recommended the alginate impression in either 0.1-1% Naocl solution for 15-30 min or 2-3.5% gluteraldehyde solution for 30-60 minutes. But immersion in gluteraldehyde for more than 30 min has shown dimensional changes and altered surface quality of the resultant cast.\textsuperscript{19}

Ethylene oxide gas autoclaving has shown significant structural changes of heavy and light body addition silicone impression material. Sterilization of dental stone cast has shown improved mechanical properties but decreased compressive strength. Addition or condensation silicone impression materials can be sterilized in steam autoclave without remarkable changes in dimensional accuracy.\textsuperscript{3}

**CONCLUSIONS**

1) Cross infection control is very important aspect of patient safety
2) Impression disinfection can prevent spread of infection from dental clinic to dental laboratory technician, patients and dental auxiliaries
3) It is the responsibility of the dentist to make appropriate choice of disinfection method for different impression materials.
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