Evaluating Occurrence of Variable Cleft Lip and Palate Types Among Ethnic Groups of Malaysia
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OBJECTIVE: The objective was to assess the distribution of types of cleft lip and palate (CLP) among different racial groups living in Malaysia.

METHODOLOGY: This study was carried out in two tertiary care hospitals of Malaysia, during August 2007 to March 2009. Total 526 CLP patients registered in the hospital records during the study period were included. The Modified Craniofacial Anomalies Registration (CARE) form was used to collect data of different types of oral clefts in relation to race among Malaysian.

RESULTS: Of the total 526 patients registered in the study hospital records during the study period. Majority (86.7%) of these patients were in the age group < 18 years, most (56.7%) of them were females. The racial distribution of patients was 88.6 % Malays, 8.7 % Chinese, 2.5% Indian and 0.2% others. The right side oral cleft was found in 96.1% of Malay study subjects and 3.9 % Chinese. Among Chinese ethnic participants, majority (52 %) had bilateral oral cleft. Overall data indicates hard palate cleft was present in 3.7% Malay, 4.6% Chinese, and 23% Indian study participants. However, soft plate cleft was present in 4 % Malay and 7.6% Indian. Among ethnic groups 92% of Malay patients, 95% of Chinese and 69% of Indian had hard and soft palate cleft.

CONCLUSION: Malay ethnic group was most commonly affected racial group in this study followed by Chinese and Indian origin.
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INTRODUCTION

The oral clefts is one of leading cause of increased infant mortality and poor quality of life across the globe. The oral cleft patients in developing world face greater problems due to lack of access to quality healthcare. The patients of cleft lip and palate (CLP) face significant feeding and speech problems. In addition, hearing loss and delayed midface development in CLP patients is also present.¹

The occurrence of cleft lip and palate (CLP) varies significantly among various racial groups. The reported incidence of CLP is 1 in 1,000 births in whites, 1 in 500 births in Asians and Native Americans and approximately 1 in 2,400 to 2,500 births in people of African descent.²⁻⁴

The variable factors including heredity, nutrition, drug abuse, and environmental factors have been reported to contribute to oral clefts.³ The cleft lip and palate (CLP) is the most common oral cleft deformity followed by isolated cleft lip and isolated cleft palate.⁶

The variation in birth prevalence of oral clefts in different geographic locations is due to sampling type, population (hospital versus population), race and inclusion/exclusion criteria. The birthplace of babies with CLP in terms of home or hospital delivery influences registration of cases. However, CLP cases may be missed because the anomaly remains undiagnosed at the time of birth or because of lack of interest in registration.⁷

The complete registration of CLP cases requires pooling of data from several sources.⁸ The prevalence of CLP among ethnic groups across the globe vary. In population of Europe and North America extensive birth prevalence of CLP has been reported. In comparison, lower CLP birth prevalence among African-American populations have been observed.⁹ Malaysia is a multi-racial country of Malays, Chinese, Indians and others. To best of authors’ knowledge prevalence of CLP
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among different Malaysian races at multi center level has not been carried out. Therefore, present study made an attempt to report types of oral cleft among Malaysian ethnic groups at two tertiary care hospitals. The findings of this study will help in planning treatment and counselling of CLP patients.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the distribution of types of cleft lip and palate and compare its prevalence among different races living in Malaysia.

METHODOLOGY

This study was carried out in two tertiary care hospitals of Malaysia, namely University of Malaya Medical Centre (UMMC) and Hospital Kota Bharu/ Hospital Raja Perumpuan Zainab II (HKB/ HRPZII) during August 2007 to March 2009. Permission from the administrators of the study hospitals was obtained. The cleft lip and/or palate patients who attended the study hospitals during 2003 to 2007 were included in to the study. The CLP patients who were younger than 10 years were excluded. The oral cleft patients whose record was incomplete were excluded. The data on epidemiology of CLP among different races by reviewing the record of patients who came to study hospitals for treatment from Total 526 patients registered in the hospital records during the study period were included. Modified Craniofacial Anomalies Registration (CARE) form was used as data collection tool for study variables. This form comprised of oral cleft details. Data was analysed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 16.). Descriptive statistical analysis was carried out to determine frequencies of types of oral clefts in study population. The Ethic Committee, University of Malaya approved the present study.

RESULTS

In present study total 526 CLP patients registered in the study hospital records were included. Majority (86.7%) of these patients were in the age group ≤ 18 years, most (56.7%) of them were females. The racial distribution of patients was 88.6% Malays, 8.7% Chinese, 2.5% Indian and 0.2% others (Table 1). Among Malay patients 77% had CLP, 12% CP and 9% had CL. In Chinese patients 76% of cases were of CLP, 17% CP and 6.5% CL. Moreover, 76% Indian had CLP as listed in Table 2. Furthermore, distribution of CP types among Malay, Chinese, Indian and other races is listed in Table 3. The occurrence of soft and hard palate cleft was more frequent affecting 92% Malay,}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Distribution of facial cleft type among different races

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Cleft lip (CL) n (%)</th>
<th>Cleft palate (CP) n (%)</th>
<th>Cleft lip and palate (CLP) n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>43 (9.2)</td>
<td>60 (12.87)</td>
<td>363 (77.89)</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3 (6.5)</td>
<td>8 (17.3)</td>
<td>35 (76)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>10 (76.92)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: The distribution of cleft palate type according to races

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Soft palate cleft n (%)</th>
<th>Hard palate cleft n (%)</th>
<th>Soft and hard palate cleft n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>17 (4)</td>
<td>16 (3.7)</td>
<td>390 (92.1)</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (4.65)</td>
<td>41 (95.3)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>1 (7.69)</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>9 (69.2)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: The distribution of cleft side in relation to different races

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Right n (%)</th>
<th>Left n (%)</th>
<th>Bilateral n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>124 (26.6)</td>
<td>148 (31.75)</td>
<td>194 (41.6)</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>17 (36.9)</td>
<td>24 (52.1)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>7 (53.8)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% Chinese and 69% Indian study subjects. Furthermore, details about pattern of oral cleft side among study races is elucidated in Table 4. The bilateral oral cleft was found among 53.8% Indians, 52.1% Chinese and 41.6% Malay subjects.

DISCUSSION

Orofacial clefts significantly lead to long-term financial and psychological stress for affected subjects and their families. The surgical treatment for orofacial cleft involves multidisciplinary approach. The treatment begins shortly after birth and may continue up to teenage and comprise of multiple surgeries and long-term speech therapy combined with orthodontic treatment.

The present study found that out of 526 CLP patients, the racial distribution of patients was 88.6% Malays, 8.7% Chinese, 2.5% Indian and 0.2% others. Among Malay...
patients 77% had CLP, 12% had CP and 9% had CL. In Chinese patients 76% of cases were of CLP, 17% CP and 6.5% CL. Moreover, 76% Indian had CLP. The results of present study are in agreement with findings previous studies who reported that CLP was most frequent type of oral cleft in Malaysian population. However, to best of authors' knowledge no study was found in literature stating racial distribution of oral clefts in Malaysia. Moreover, these results of current study are consistent with several other epidemiologic studies. Furthermore, many other published studies report higher percentage of CLP compared to isolated CL and CP. The percentage of CLP was 66% in Brazil, 78.3% in Saudi Arabia, 76.8% in Sudan and in Mexico it was found to be 70%. The occurrence of soft and hard palate was more frequent affecting 92% Malay, 95% Chinese and 69% Indian study subjects. The bilateral oral cleft was found among 53.8% Indians, 52.1% Chinese and 41.6% Malay subjects. In contrast to present study, unilateral cleft on left side was reported to be more predominant. Moreover, Aljohar et al also reported occurrence of oral cleft on left-side more frequently, which is in agreement with a study conducted in China. In literature no any substantial justification for the frequent occurrence of left side cleft is found. However, it has been proposed that close proximity of blood vessels resulting in profuse blood supply to right side of the fetal head may be one reason for less occurrence of cleft on right side. It is recommended that molecular and genetic studies of Malaysian ethnic groups with oral clefts should be carried out to explore reasons for variations in prevalence of oral clefts among ethnic groups of Malaysia.

CONCLUSION

The right side oral cleft was found in 96.1% of Malay study subjects and 3.9% Chinese. Among Chinese ethnic participants, majority (52%) had bilateral oral cleft. Overall data indicates hard palate cleft was present in 3.7% Malay, 4.6% Chinese, and 23% Indian study participants. However, soft palate cleft was present in 4% Malay and 7.6% Indian. Among ethnic groups 92% of Malay patients, 95% of Chinese and 69% of Indian had hard and soft palate cleft.
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